Lab 2

Lexing and Parsing with Flex and Bison - 2 labs

Objective

- Understand the software architecture of flex/bison.
- Be able to write simple grammars in bison.
- Be able to correct grammar issues in bison.

First download and uncompress the archive available here.

2.1 Overview of a flex/bison parser

In this section we give a quick outline on how to develop a parser using flex and bison and how to compile it. The next section will provide you with little examples.

2.1.1 Structure of the files

In most parsers, the analysis is done in two steps:

- First lexical analysis, that transforms the input file into a stream of tokens, which are an abstraction over the language constructs (a token per keyword, a token for identifiers, ...). This phase is very simple and only uses regular expressions to do very shallow transformation on the input.
 - This analysis is described by abstract rules in the **lexer** and resides in a .lex file. The rules are then transformed to C code by flex.
- The stream of tokens is then parsed by an automaton corresponding to a context-free grammar. The grammar is spelled out in a .y file and the bison program transforms it into an automaton written in C.

Lexical analysis – the lexer The typical structure of a .lex file is as follows:

```
/* Prelude C code that will be prepended to the code of the lexer
  generated by 'flex' */

/*

/*

/*

/* Postlude C code that will be appended to the code of the lexer

/* Postlude C code that will be appended to the code of the lexer

(like a main function for instance) */
```

The lexer then tries every regular expression in the order specified by the file on the input, and execute the code corresponding to the first expression that matches a prefix of the input. It then continues with the rest of the input.

Actions can also return tokens to the parser instead of consuming the all the input.

Syntactic analysis – the parser The typical structure of a .y file is as follows:

```
%{
  /* Prelude C code that will be prepended to the code of the parser
  generated by 'bison' */
%}
/* Definition of the different tokens */
%token TOK_1 TOK_2
/* Name of the non-terminal that is the starting point of the grammar. */
%start prog
%%
/* Actual grammar, composed of rules of the form */
symbol:
  TOK_1 other_symbol;
| TOK_2 symbol ;
/* Or with code */
other_symbol:
 TOK_3 { puts ("other_symbol"); }
/* Postlude C code that will be appended to the code of the parser
(like a main function for instance) */
```

2.1.2 Compilation

We describe here the basic sequence of commands to compile your flex and bison files (should be done in this order):

• Compile a parser into C: bison -d -o parser.c parser.y -defines=parser.h

The input is the file parser.y (written by you). The main output is parser.c, which is a syntactical

Note that the file parser.h is also generated; it is used in the following command (flex) to know the tokens that are used by the lexer and the parser.

After compilation, we can call a function yyparse, that does the syntactical analysis.

- Compile a lexer into C: flex -noyywrap -o lexer.c lexer.lex

 The input is the file lexer.lex (written by you), and the output is the file lexer.c.

 After compilation, we can call a function yylex, that does the lexical analysis.
- Compile all the C files: cc -o prog parser.c lexer.c ...

 The files generated above, parser.c and lexer.c, are fed to the compiler, together with other source C files (this is the "..." above | it can be, for instance, a main.c file).

 Finally, this generated the file called "prog", which can be executed by invoking ./prog.

In some examples of this TP, we only use the lexer generator. In other examples, there is no main.c file, because all the necessary C/C++ code is in the lexer and parser files.

You will find in several directories a file called Makefile, whose role is to make the managment of the above mentioned compilation steps automatic. But it is useful to have an idea of what's going on when invoking make (thus exploiting the Makefile file).

2.2 Simple examples

EXERCISE #1 **▶ Demo files**

Work your way through the five examples in the directory demo_files:

• exemple.lex: A very simple lexical analysis for simple arithmetic expressions of the form x+3. To compile, run:

make exemple

This generates a binary (exemple) that you can run using ./exemple. To signal the program you have finished entering the input, use **Control-D**.

Examples of run: [^D means that I pressed Control-D, what I typed is in boldface].

```
% ./exemple
1+1
^D
This is a valid expression.
% ./exemple
()
Invalid expression!
```

Questions:

- Read and understand the code.
- Allow for parentheses to appear in the expressions.
- Make the lexer terminate on a newline instead of the EOF (Control-D) signal.
- What is an example of a recognized expression that looks odd? To fix this problem we need a syntactic analyzer (cf. exemple5)
- exemple2.lex: A little variation to show how to use the text that is matched by the rule (yytext). To compile, type make exemple2 and to run it, type ./exemple2. Example of session:

```
% ./exemple2
foo
Your identifier is: foo
()
not recognized!
```

Question: Add a rule to match numbers and print their successor in the action (*Hint:* Use the atoi function to convert the string into an integer).

• exemple3.lex: We wish now to count the number of characters and the number of lines of the input. To compile, run make exemple3. Example of session:

```
% ./exemple3
How long is this input?
Let's find out!
^D
# of lines = 2, # of chars = 40
```

Question: When encountering a letter, print the previous letter encoutered if any.

• exemple4.y and exemple4.lex: First syntactic analysis. The goal is to make a program that recognizes inputs that are well-parenthesized.

The lexer extracts the tokens (opening and closing parentheses) from the input (understand what it does with the other characters), whereas the parser checks that they are matching. To compile, run make exemple4. Example of session:

```
% ./exemple4
(1+3)*(2+5)
^D
Well-parenthesized.
% ./exemple4
(1+3*(2+5)
^D
*** Parsing error: syntax error
Not well-parenthesized.
```

Question: Add the support for square brackets ([and]). (Hint: add two new tokens, and one more rule.)

• exemple5.y and exemple5.lex: Parsing (and evaluating) simple arithmetic expressions. This parser recognizes the grammar for arithmetic expressions with + and * (without parenthesis). To compile, run

```
make exemple5. Example of session:
% ./exemple5
2+3*5;
Result: 17
```

(Do not forget the semicolon at the end for expressions! | can you see where, in the source files, we make the presence of the semicolon mandatory?)

Question: What happens if we remove the %left directives in exemple5.y?

If these files read like a novel, go on with the other exercises. Otherwise, make sure that you understand what is going on. You can ask the Teaching Assistant, or another student, for advice.

2.3 Warmup, mini-exercises

For these exercises, first do them on paper, then confirm your solution on the machine – see directory ex_3, type make to compile the grammars.

EXERCISE #2 ► Flex

What will be the behavior of this flex file after compilation?

mystere1.lex

EXERCISE #3 ► Flex/Bison

We give you the following code:

mystere2.lex

```
%{
    #include "parser.h"
    #include <stdio.h>
    %}
5    %%
    "(" {return PO;}
    ")" {return PF;}
    "[" {return CO;}
    "]" {return CF;}
10    \n
    .
    %%
```

mystere2.y

```
%{
    #include <stdio.h>
3    extern int yyerror (const char *s);
    extern int yylex();
    %}
```

```
%token PO PF CO CF
8 %start F
   %%
   F : L
                      printf("F->L\n"); }
   L : LI
                     printf("L->LI\n") ; }
13
                   printf("L->eps\n"); }
                {
                  printf("LI->LI_I\n"); }
   LI : LI I
               {
                    printf("LI->I\n"); }
   ΙI
                {
   I : PO L PF {
                     printf("I->(L)\n"); }
                      printf("I->[L]\n"); }
   | CO L CF
18
   %%
   int yyerror(const char *s) {
     printf("Parsing_error:_%s\n", s);
23
     return 1;
   int main(int argc, char** argv) {
     return yyparse();
   }
```

- What is the behavior of the flex file? (guess, then check).
- Give the output of flex+bison on the following input: (3+a)*b[8-c], write the derivation and draw the parse tree.
- Test.

2.4 Solving conflicts with bison

EXERCISE #4 ► Shift/Shift

In the directory ex_4 the file ifthenelse.y contains a (partial) specification of the following grammar:

```
Z -> S
S -> if E then S else S
S -> if E then S
S -> inst
E -> id
```

- Compile using make (write make, not make ifthenelse). C code of the analyzer is produced using the following command:
 - bison --defines=parser.h -o parser.c parser.y. *Bison* also generates parser.h which contains the declarations.
- Complete the grammar, inst being the constant string "inst", and id any other string, and test your grammar.
 - Remark that there is now a warning about a shift/reduce conflict.
- **Open Makefile** and add the options --report=lookahead --report-file=bison_report in the bison command line. This will tell bison to generate a report describing the conflict.
- Go to the state where the shift/reduce conflict arises. What is the default choice of the analyzer? What is the ambiguity in the grammar causing this conflict?
- When there is a shift/reduce conflict, it means that the parser hesitates between shifting (reading) one
 more specific token or reducing using a specific rule.
 What is the token and the rule in conflict here?
- Bison has a mechanism allowing one to solve this conflict just by annotating the grammar: we need to tell which from the token or the rule has the priority. The priority of a rule is given by the priority of its rightmost-token. The priority of a token is declared together with its associativity (left, right, none). Associativity is used to tell bison what to do when a rule has the same priority than a token (i.e., the situation of a shift/reduce conflict):

- If the priority is left, then reduce the rule
- If the priority is right, shift
- If it is nonassoc, report a syntax error.

The declaration is made by the directives %left, %right and %nonassoc followed with a list of tokens that will share the same priority.

Finally the directives:

%left tok1

%left tok2

declares tok2 to have higher priority (or precedence) than tok1.

Give a set of priority directives so that "if then else" is "right-associative" in the following sense: if x then if y then inst else inst should be parsed if x then (if y then inst else inst).

• (optional) Give a set of priorities for it to be left-associative.

EXERCISE #5 ► Reduce/reduce

Reduce/reduce conflicts are real bugs in the grammar that need correction. The idea is that a reduce/reduce conflict means that a word has two different syntactic interpretations. For example: the string int*x could be a declaration of a variable x of type int*, or the computation of the product between the variable int and x.

In the ex_5 directory of the archive, look at the grammar. Where does the reduce/reduce conflict come from? How does bison solve it? (Look at the bison_report file).

2.5 Grammar Attributes (action)

Until now, our analyzers are passive oracles. We would like to execute code during the analysis and produce the intermediate representation. This is what *attribute grammars* are made for. We associate to each production a piece of code that will be executed each time the production will be reduced. This piece of code is called *semantic action* and computes the attributes of non-terminals. Let us consider the following grammar (the end of an expression is a semicolon):

 $Z \rightarrow E$;

 $E \rightarrow E + T$

 $E \to T$

 $T \to T * F$

 $T \rightarrow F$

 $F \rightarrow id$

 $F \rightarrow (E)$

EXERCISE #6 ► **Evaluating arithmetic expressions**

In the ex_6 directory of the archive, you will find this grammar. Then:

- Attribute the grammar to evaluate arithmetic expressions.
- Execute your grammar against 1+(2*3);.

EXERCISE #7 ► Constructing the AST for an arithmetic language

In the ex_7 directory of the archive, you will find the grammar for an extension of this arithmetic language with constants.

- Complete the functions in ast.cpp for the creation and evaluation of the syntax tree.
- Complete the action for the grammar in expr.y.
- Compile (make) and test the toplevel using ./expr. Example of valid input: pi+3, 3*5+e/2
- (this question is optional) Add in the grammar the support for unary subtraction (to support for instance -pi). Hint: try using the %prec modifier, together with priority nonassoc.

EXERCISE #8 ► From Scratch

Consider prefixed expressions like * + * 3 4 5 7 and assignments of such expressions to variables: a=* + * 3 4 5 7. Identifiers are allowed in expressions.

• Give a grammar that recognizes lists of such assignments. Encode it in flex/bison. Test.

- Use grammar rules to construct infix assignments during parsing: the former assignment will we transformed into the *string* a=(3 * 4 + 5)*7. Be careful to avoid useless parentheses.
- Modify the attribution to verify that the use of each identifier is done after his first definition.

2.6 Bonus

EXERCISE #9 ► Grammar + attribution for pseudo-XML files

Consider the following grammar for XML files:

```
L ->E L |
E -> A L B | ident
A -> < ident >
B -> </ ident >
```

- Write lex + bison files to recognize this grammar.
- Add rules to check during the parsing that opening and closing tags are refering to the same identifiers.

2.7 C++ warmup - Mandatory (2nd lab)

Carefully read Appendix A. Make the exercises.

Appendix A

C++ startup

The project compiler is written in C++. In fact it uses very few C++ idioms, the following exercises/examples will help you to survive. Note that to compile C++ code you need to use c++, g++ or clang++ (c++ is just an alias to one of the last two).

A.1 Objects

When we program, we have the tendency to place inside one file all the functions/methods which work with one data structure. Here is an example:

listing.h

```
typedef struct {...} list_t;

list_t* empty_list();
list_t* add(list_t*, int);

int get_nth(list_t, int);
int length(list_t*);
```

The object oriented paradigm offers a syntactic way to make explicit such a grouping. The functions (methods) are semantically attached to a variable (object) of a certain type (class). Instead of writing add(list, 2), we will use list.add(2)...

The type (the class) of an object is declared in the following way:

List.h

Inside List.cc, we implement the methods:

List.cc

```
#include "List.h"
List::List()
{ this->is_empty = true; }
```

```
List::List(List* t, int h)
{ is_empty = false; tail = t; head = h; }
```

These 2 methods are *constructors*. They allow for the construction of an object of type (class) List in memory. For example:

Example

```
List* 11 = new List(); //[], call to the first constructor
List* 12 = new List(new List(),1); //[1]
```

Note that "this" is a pointer to the object which is being created (returned by the constructor). It can be implicit, as in the second constructor. Inside the methods, 'this' serves as a pointer to the object being modified. Inside 11->add(1), "this" corresponds to 11.

$\underline{\text{EXERCISE } #1} \triangleright \text{Try it yourself}$

Copy the code above inside the files List.h and List.cc respectively, and then finish to implement the methods. Do not forget to write *destructors*.

A.2 Input/Output

The following code prints text on the standard output:

Hello.cpp

```
#include <iostream>
using namespace std;
int main(void)
{
   cout << "Hello" << "world" << endl;
}</pre>
```

We should in fact write ((cout « "Hello") « " world") « endl; :

- (cout « "Hello") adds the string "Hello" to the standard output stream (screen) and returns the resulting stream.
- (...) « "world" adds world to the resulting stream. Etc, etc ...

In C++, we can define operators (+, -, *, «, etc) on objects. Let us write a « for our List, by adding the following code:

Additional code for List.h

```
ostream& operator<< (ostream& sout, List& 1);
inside List.cc:
ostream& operator<< (ostream& sout, List& 1)
{
   if(l.is_empty) return sout;
   sout << l.head << "" << *(l.tail);
   return sout;
}</pre>
```

We can thus write cout « *ma_liste « endl;... But we can just as well replace cout with a file stream (see the fstream class), and write the list inside a file.

EXERCISE #2 ▶ Printing into a file

Using your favorite search engine to find documentation, modify/complete the program to write a list inside a file.

A.3 Standard Libray: STL

The STL (Standard Template Library) is a comprehensive C++ library which implements a vast majority of useful data structures (like lists and sets). For most day to day programming you will do, using vector (contiguous array of elements) and map (think of associations lists in Caml) will prove to be sufficient.

Here are some good to know idioms (not necessarily understanding all the details):

tab.cpp

```
#include <vector>
#include <map>
using namespace std;
int main(void)
  vector<int> vec; //array
  vec.push_back(7); //[7]
  vec.push_back(5); //[7,5]
  cout << vec.size () << endl //2</pre>
       << vec[0] << endl //7
       << vec[1] << endl; //5
  map<string,int> age;
  age["toto"] = 25;
  age["titi"] = 8;
  // does toto exist ?
  if(age.find("toto") != age.end())
    cout << "toto_is_" << age["toto"] << "years_old" << endl;</pre>
  for(map<string,int>::const_iterator it = age.begin();
      it != age.end(); ++it)
    //For each pair (name, age) inside the map...
    cout << it->first << "u->u" << it->second << endl;
```

age.begin() returns a pointer (called an iterator) to the first element. age.end() points just after the last element of the map. You can probably guess what the if and for do...

The type of the iterator can also be automatically derived:

iterator with auto type

```
for (const auto& it : age) {
    std::cout << it->first << "u->u" << it->second << std::endl;
}</pre>
```

Be careful: this is only valid in the latest standard of C++ called C++11! To compile use g++ -std=c++11 or clang++ -std=c++11.

EXERCISE #3 ► Vector

Write a function that takes as input a vector of strings and returns a unique string resulting of the concatenation of all the input strings. Test it.

EXERCISE #4 ► Maps

Modify the Flex and Bison files of Exercice 2.5 in order to store *identifiers* in a global map (one number for each identifier, TK_VAR's attribute is now of type int). Print this map after the end of parsing: 1-> var1...